to be incurred, it should not be grudged as the measure will be of great benefit to the public.

Mr. A. Ramanna.—The scheme should not end in the appointment of Sub-Magistrates. The system has proved a failure in Madras. Munsiff Magistrates may be appointed. Appeals should lie to Sub-Judges. Some of the Munsiffs may also be appointed First Class Magistrates. Appeals from First Class Magistrates should lie to Sessions Judges. The number of Assistant Commissioners may be reduced and the savings may be utilised to meet the extra cost.

Mr. Balakrishna Rao.—Stationary Magistrates with high salaries may be appointed for two or three taluks. Munsiffs should not be appointed Magistrates. There is no objection to Assistant Commissioners having magisterial powers. Objection is to the combination of Police and Judicial powers. Amildars may be divested of magisterial powers.

Mr. C. Vasudeva Rao.—Magistrates may be appointed for groups of two taluks and Amildars may be similarly appointed for revenue and other work.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 16.

Copying paper.

Messrs. (1) S. Suryanarayana Setty and P. Suryanarayana Setty, Arkalgud Taluk, Sura Sastry, Chikballapur Taluk; Surappa, Bangalore Taluk, H. Nanjundayya, Bangalore Law Association, Adinarayanayya, Doddballapur Taluk, Puttanna, Doddballapur, Municipality, C. R. Nanjundayya and A. Gundappa, Channapatna Taluk, and Viswanatha Setti, Channapatna Municipality, and (2) Singri Nanjappa, Tiptur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) The price of copying paper is high and it has not enough space for the number of words which could be copied according to the old rules. The price of the copying paper may be reduced and both sides of the paper may be allowed to be written upon.

(2) The value of a sheet may be reduced to one anna.

Reply of Government.—The matter requires further consideration.

SUBJECT No. 17.

Improvement of the efficiency and morale of the Police force.

Messrs. B. Narasinga Rao and V. Rangaswami Iyengar, Seringapatam Taluk; Vamana Rao, Nagar Taluk and R. Gopalaswamy Iyer, Bangalore District Board, Katte Channakeshaviah, Siddappa and Shankarappa, Tirthahalli Taluk.

Note.—Not taken up for discussion.

SUBJECT No. 18.

First Appellate Court for the Bangalore Division.

Mr. Sura Sastri, Chikballapur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—As great inconvenience is now experienced by the civil litigants for want of a First Appellate Court for the districts of Kolar, Tumkur and Bangalore, the District Court of the Bangalore Division may be invested with the necessary powers.

Under the present arrangement, parties are put to great expenditure in the shape of costs. Moreover, when the other two District Courts have appellate powers, it is

an anomaly that the Bangalore District Court should have no such power.

Reply of Government.—Not feasible.

SUBJECT No. 19.

Recommendations of the Judicial Conference.

Mr. H. Nanjundayya, Bangalore Law Association.

Summary of discussion.—The recommendations of the Judicial Conference may be reconsidered in a more sympathetic and liberal spirit.

Reply of Government.—Orders were passed after due consideration.

SUBJECT No. 20.

Appointment of a Court of Visitors for the Bangalore Central Jail.

Messrs. Ramachandra Rao Sindhe and G. Siddaveerappa, Bangalore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—A Court of Visitors consisting of officials and non-officials may be appointed for the Central Jail at Bangalore.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 21.

(*a*) Establishment of Penal Settlements.

(*b*) Establishment of a Reformatory.

(*c*) Moral lessons in Jails.

Messrs. Padmanna, M. Chendrasekhariah and K. Venkatasamiah, Nanjangud Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) One or two Penal Settlements may be formed in the State with a view to the employment of convicts on agriculture.

Mr. K. Venkatsamaiah.—To give full effect to the Criminal Tribes Regulation now under the consideration of the Legislative Council, it is necessary to establish one or two Penal Settlements in order to settle the criminal tribes in Mysore.

(*b*) A Reformatory for juvenile prisoners may be established either at Bangalore or at Mysore.

(*c*) Arrangements may be made to impart moral instruction in all Jails.

Reply of Government.—(*a*) Not possible.

(*b*) Seems reasonable.

(*c*) Arrangements have been made in the Bangalore and Mysore Jails.

SUBJECT No. 22.

Reduction of registration fees.

Mr. Singri Nanjappa, Tiptur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—The registration fees may be reduced to the scale in British India.

Reply of Government.—Under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 23.

Reduction of copying fees.

Messrs. Adinaranaiya, Dodballapur Taluk and Puttanna, Dodballapur Municipality.

Note.—Not discussed owing to the absence of the proposers.

SUBJECT No. 24.

Publication of the index of all cases decided by the Chief Court and the appointment of a full time Law Reporter.

Mr. C. Narasimhaiya, Heggaddevankote Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—An index of all cases decided by the Chief Court, whether reported or not, may be prepared and made available to the public. To prepare this and also to report the cases, a full time Law Reporter may be appointed.

Reply of Government.—Not necessary.